TEXTBANKING RESEARCH

**Definition:** Sending text messages to voters using a third party app like Hustle or ThruText.

**Bottom line:** The effectiveness of textbanking needs more research. There's evidence that text messaging has small effects on voter turnout and voter registration.

**CONSIDERATIONS**

**Pros** - Can send several in a short period of time; can send people links to follow.

**Cons** - The unsubscribe rate can be high (which means you can’t contact the person via text again); cell phone number data is harder to get than landline data; time-consuming for campaigns to administer.

**RESEARCH FINDINGS**

**Text messages have a small effect on voter turnout.**

- Academics (Dale and Strauss) found that reminder-to-vote texts to newly registered voters boosted voter turnout 3.0% in the 2006 midterm elections, over similar voters who did not receive reminder-to-vote texts.¹
- Industry tests² find that texting voters for GOTV provides average boosts in turnout of about 0.2-0.3%, compared to similar voters who do not receive GOTV texts.

**Text messages have a small effect on voter registration (VR).**

- Progressive partners (Vote.org and Analyst Institute³) found that voter registration targets that received text messages with a link to Vote.org’s registration form for their state registered at a 0.3% higher rate than targets that did not get a text message.

**Keep it informational.**

- Progressive partners (Hustle, Vote.org, and Analyst Institute⁴) have found that text messages that provided voters’ polling places were more effective (increase in turnout of 0.2% compared to similar voters who did not receive texts) than plan-making texts that required a response (decrease of 0.1% in turnout compared to similar voters who did not receive texts).
- Industry tests reliably find that text messages that focus on information about how people can vote (election day, polling place, polling hours, etc) perform better than other types of text messages (e.g., plan-making).
"Warm" contacts are better than "cold."

- Industry studies show that text messages to people who have opted into a text / phone list (warm contacts) are twice as effective in increasing turnout on average than text messages to people who have not consciously opted in (cold contacts).

Requiring replies increases opt-out rate and decreases efficacy.

- Industry tests with back and forth text messages tend to perform worse than single messages and are much more likely to produce opt-outs than single messages.

Areas for further exploration:

- Messaging other than GOTV - Research on engaging voters via messenger apps to build conversation about civic issues particularly promising (e.g., PushBlack on Facebook)
- Planned SDAN studies
  - GOTV texting + persuasion around voting (focused on issues, without mentioning candidate)
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